

Appendix of “Optimal Sparse Linear Prediction for Block-missing Multi-modality Data without Imputation”

December 30, 2018

Proof of Proposition 1: By changing variables, the optimization problem can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma} E[\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_F^2] \\ & \text{s.t. } \hat{\Sigma} = \alpha_1 \tilde{\Sigma}_I + \alpha_2 \tilde{\Sigma}_C + (1 - \alpha_1)\gamma \mathbf{I}_p. \end{aligned}$$

Using the facts that $\Sigma = \Sigma_I + \Sigma_C$ and $E(\tilde{\Sigma}_I) = \Sigma_I$, we can rewrite the objective function as

$$\begin{aligned} E[\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_F^2] &= E[\|\alpha_1 \tilde{\Sigma}_I + \alpha_2 \tilde{\Sigma}_C + (1 - \alpha_1)\gamma \mathbf{I}_p - \Sigma\|_F^2] \\ &= E[\|\alpha_1 \tilde{\Sigma}_I + \alpha_2 \tilde{\Sigma}_C + (1 - \alpha_1)\gamma \mathbf{I}_p - \alpha_1 \Sigma_I - (1 - \alpha_1)\Sigma_I - \Sigma_C\|_F^2] \\ &= E[\|\alpha_1(\tilde{\Sigma}_I - \Sigma_I) + (1 - \alpha_1)(\gamma \mathbf{I}_p - \Sigma_I)\|_F^2] + E[\|\alpha_2 \tilde{\Sigma}_C - \Sigma_C\|_F^2] \\ &= \alpha_1^2 E[\|\tilde{\Sigma}_I - \Sigma_I\|_F^2] + (1 - \alpha_1)^2 \|\gamma \mathbf{I}_p - \Sigma_I\|_F^2 + E[\|\alpha_2 \tilde{\Sigma}_C - \Sigma_C\|_F^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the optimal value of γ can be obtained by minimizing $\|\gamma \mathbf{I}_p - \Sigma_I\|_F^2$. Thus,

the optimal value is $\gamma^* = \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_I)/p = \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma})/p$. The optimal value of α_2 can be obtained by minimizing $E[\|\alpha_2 \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_C - \mathbf{\Sigma}_C\|_F^2]$. The optimal value is $\alpha_2^* = \frac{\|\mathbf{\Sigma}_C\|_F^2}{\|\mathbf{\Sigma}_C\|_F^2 + \delta_C^2}$. Replacing γ by its optimal value γ^* in the objective function and taking the derivative of the objective function with respect to α_1 , we can find that the optimal value of α_1 is $\alpha_1^* = \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2 + \delta_I^2}$. Thus, the optimal value of α_3 is $\alpha_3^* = \gamma^*(1 - \alpha_1^*) = \frac{\gamma^* \delta_I^2}{\theta^2 + \delta_I^2}$.

At the optimum, the value of the objective function is equal to $\frac{\delta_I^2 \theta^2}{\delta_I^2 + \theta^2} + \frac{\delta_C^2 \|\mathbf{\Sigma}_C\|_F^2}{\delta_C^2 + \|\mathbf{\Sigma}_C\|_F^2}$, which is less than $\delta_I^2 + \delta_C^2$. Since $E[\|\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} - \mathbf{\Sigma}\|_F^2] = \delta_I^2 + \delta_C^2$, we have $E[\|\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}^* - \mathbf{\Sigma}\|_F^2] \leq E[\|\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} - \mathbf{\Sigma}\|_F^2]$. \square

To show the proof of Theorem 1, we first show the definition of the sub-Gaussian distribution and Lemma 1 of Ravikumar et al. (2011).

Definition 1: A zero-mean random variable Z is sub-Gaussian with parameter $L > 0$ if

$$E(\exp(tZ)) \leq \exp(L^2 t^2 / 2) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 1 (Ravikumar et al. (2011)) Consider a zero-mean random vector (X_1, \dots, X_p) with covariance $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ such that each $X_j/\sqrt{\sigma_{jj}}$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter L . Given n i.i.d. samples, the associated sample covariance $\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ satisfies the tail bound

$$P(|\hat{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \delta) \leq 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{n\delta^2}{128(1 + 4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2}\right\},$$

for all $\delta \in (0, 8 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})(1 + 4L^2))$.

Proof of Theorem 1: In our theoretical study, we assume that $\sigma_{jj} = 1$ for each j . Under the condition **(A1)**, we know that $X_j/\sqrt{\sigma_{jj}}$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter L for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. The random variable $y/\sqrt{\text{var}(y)}$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter $L/\sqrt{\text{var}(y)}$.

We use the above **Lemma 1** and let $\delta = \nu_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}$. If

$$\nu_1 \sqrt{(\log p)/n_{jt}} < 8 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})(1 + 4L^2),$$

we can use the above **Lemma 1** and have the following result

$$\begin{aligned}
P(|\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \delta) &\leq 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{n_{jt}\delta^2}{128(1+4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2}\right\} \\
&= 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{n_{jt} \cdot \nu_1^2 \frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}{128(1+4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2}\right\} \\
&= 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{\nu_1^2}{128(1+4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2} \log p\right\} \\
&= 4p^{-\frac{\nu_1^2}{128(1+4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In our theoretical studies, we choose $\nu_1 = 8\sqrt{6}(1+4L^2) \max_j(\sigma_{jj}) = 8\sqrt{6}(1+4L^2)$ and $\nu_2 = 4$. If $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} > 6 \log p$, we can check that

$$\delta = 8\sqrt{6}(1+4L^2) \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}} \max_j(\sigma_{jj}) < 8(1+4L^2) \max_j(\sigma_{jj}),$$

and

$$P(|\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \nu_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}) \leq 4p^{-\frac{384(1+4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2}{128(1+4L^2)^2 \max_j(\sigma_{jj})^2}} = \frac{4}{p^3} = \frac{\nu_2}{p^3},$$

for any $j, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$.

Hence, under condition **(A1)** and the condition $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} > 6 \log p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{j,t} P(|\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \nu_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}) &\leq \frac{\nu_2}{p^3} \\
P(\|\tilde{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \geq \nu_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) &\leq \frac{\nu_2}{p^3} \cdot p^2 = \frac{\nu_2}{p},
\end{aligned}$$

where the constants $\nu_1 = 8\sqrt{6}(1+4L^2)$ and $\nu_2 = 4$.

In addition, we know that each $X_j/\sqrt{\sigma_{jj}}$ and the random variable $y/\sqrt{\text{var}(y)}$ are also sub-Gaussian with parameter $\frac{L}{\min\{1, \sqrt{\text{var}(y)}\}}$. Let $\nu_3 = 16(1+4\frac{L^2}{\min\{\text{var}(y), 1\}}) \max\{\text{var}(y), 1\}$

and $\nu_4 = 4$. If $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} > 6 \log p$, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_j n_j &\geq \min_{j,t} n_{jt} > 6 \log p > 4 \log p, \\ \nu_3 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_j}} &< 8 \left(1 + 4 \frac{L^2}{\min\{\text{var}(y), 1\}}\right) \max\{\text{var}(y), 1\} \text{ for each } j = 1, 2, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above **Lemma 1**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j P(|\tilde{c}_j - c_j| \geq \nu_3 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_j}}) &\leq \frac{\nu_4}{p^2}, \\ P(\|\tilde{C} - C\|_{\max} \geq \nu_3 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_j n_j}}) &\leq \frac{\nu_4}{p^2} \cdot p = \frac{\nu_4}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2: Denote $\hat{\Sigma} = \alpha_1 \tilde{\Sigma}_I + \alpha_2 \tilde{\Sigma}_C + (1 - \alpha_1) \mathbf{I}_p$, where $1 - \alpha_1 = O(\sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j})$ and $1 - \alpha_2 = O(\sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}})$. We first show the convergence rate of $\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{\max}$. Based on the definition of $\hat{\Sigma} = (\hat{\sigma}_{jt})_{j,t=1}^p$, we know that

$$\hat{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = t; \\ \alpha_1 \tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt} & \text{if } j \neq t \text{ (} j \text{ and } t \text{ are in the same modality);} \\ \alpha_2 \tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt} & \text{if } j \neq t \text{ (} j \text{ and } t \text{ are in different modalities).} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if $j \neq t$ and the predictors j and t are in the same modality, with probability at least $1 - \nu_2/p^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| &= |\alpha_1 \tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \leq \alpha_1 |\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| + (1 - \alpha_1) |\sigma_{jt}| \\ &\leq \alpha_1 |\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| + 1 - \alpha_1 \\ &\text{(by Theorem 1)} \leq \alpha_1 \nu_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j} + 1 - \alpha_1 \\ &\leq \nu_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j} + 1 - \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $j \neq t$ and the predictors j and t are in different modalities, with probability at least $1 - \nu_2/p^3$, we have

$$|\hat{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| = |\alpha_2 \tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \leq \nu_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}} + 1 - \alpha_2.$$

Therefore, there exists two constants ν'_1 and ν_2 such that

$$P(\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \geq \nu'_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}) \leq \nu_2/p.$$

Denote events $\mathcal{A} = \{\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \leq \nu'_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\|\tilde{C} - C\|_{max} \leq \nu_3 \sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j}\}$. From Theorem 1 and the above convergence rate of $\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}$, we have $P(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) \geq 1 - (\nu_2 + \nu_4)/p$. In events \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma} \beta^0\|_{max} &\leq \|\tilde{C} - C\|_{max} + \|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \|\beta^0\|_1 \\ &\leq (\nu_3 + \nu'_1 \|\beta^0\|_1) \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma} \beta^0\|_{max} = O_p(\|\beta^0\|_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}})$. Next, we show that $\|\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0\|_2 = O_p(\sqrt{s}\lambda)$. By the KKT condition, the solution $\tilde{\beta}$ of (4) satisfies

$$\|\hat{\Sigma} \tilde{\beta} - \tilde{C}\|_{max} \leq \lambda.$$

On the other hand, since $\tilde{\beta}$ is the solution to (4), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\beta}^T \hat{\Sigma} \tilde{\beta} - \tilde{C}^T \tilde{\beta} + \lambda \|\tilde{\beta}\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta^{0T} \hat{\Sigma} \beta^0 - \tilde{C}^T \beta^0 + \lambda \|\beta^0\|_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\lambda\|\tilde{\beta}\|_1 &\leq \beta^{0T}\hat{\Sigma}\beta^0 - \tilde{\beta}^T\hat{\Sigma}\tilde{\beta} + 2\tilde{C}^T(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0) + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\
&= (\beta^0 - \tilde{\beta})^T\hat{\Sigma}\beta^0 + \tilde{\beta}^T\hat{\Sigma}(\beta^0 - \tilde{\beta}) + 2\tilde{C}^T(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0) + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\
&= (2\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\Sigma}\beta^0)^T(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0) + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\
&\leq (\|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\tilde{\beta}\|_{max} + \|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\beta^0\|_{max}) \cdot \|\beta^0 - \tilde{\beta}\|_1 + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\
&\leq (\lambda + \|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\beta^0\|_{max}) \cdot \|\beta^0 - \tilde{\beta}\|_1 + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

If the tuning parameter $\lambda = 2\|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\beta^0\|_{max}$, we have

$$2\lambda\|\tilde{\beta}\|_1 \leq 1.5\lambda\|\beta^0 - \tilde{\beta}\|_1 + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1.$$

Hence,

$$\|\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 \leq 4(\|\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 + \|\beta^0\|_1 - \|\tilde{\beta}\|_1).$$

Let $\delta = \tilde{\beta} - \beta^0$. Since for $j \in J^c$, $|\tilde{\beta}_j - \beta_j^0| + |\beta_j^0| - |\tilde{\beta}_j| = 0$, it holds that

$$\|\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 = \|\delta_J\|_1 + \|\delta_{J^c}\|_1 \leq 4(\|\delta_J\|_1 + \|\beta_J^0\|_1 - \|\tilde{\beta}_J\|_1) \leq 8\|\delta_J\|_1.$$

Hence, $\|\delta_{J^c}\|_1 \leq 7\|\delta_J\|_1$. Furthermore, under the condition **(A2)**, if the event \mathcal{A} occurs, we have

$$\frac{\delta^T\hat{\Sigma}\delta}{\delta^T\delta} = \frac{\delta^T\Sigma\delta}{\delta^T\delta} + \frac{\delta^T(\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma)\delta}{\delta^T\delta} \geq m - 64s\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \geq m - 64s\nu'_1\sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}.$$

If we assume that $s\nu'_1\sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}} = o(1)$ or $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} > (128\nu'_1/m)^2(s^2 \log p)$, we have

$$\frac{\delta^T\hat{\Sigma}\delta}{\delta^T\delta} \geq m - m/2 = m/2 > 0, \tag{S1}$$

for sufficiently large s , p , and $\min_{j,t} n_{jt}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^T \hat{\Sigma} \delta &\leq \|\hat{\Sigma}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_{max} \|\delta\|_1 \leq (\|\hat{\Sigma}\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{C}\|_{max} + \|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\beta^0\|_{max}) \|\delta\|_1 \\ &\leq (\lambda + \|\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\beta^0\|_{max}) \|\delta\|_1 = 1.5\lambda \|\delta\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2})$$

Therefore, by (S1) and (S2), we have $\frac{m}{2} \|\delta\|_2^2 \leq \delta^T \hat{\Sigma} \delta \leq 1.5\lambda \|\delta\|_1 \leq 12\lambda \|\delta_J\|_1 \leq 12\lambda\sqrt{s} \|\delta\|_2$. Hence, $\|\delta\|_2 \leq 24\lambda\sqrt{s}/m$.

Therefore $\|\tilde{\beta} - \beta^0\|_2 = O_p(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = O_p(\|\beta^0\|_1 \sqrt{s \log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}})$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3: For each $j, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, we know that $\check{\sigma}_{jt} = \sigma_{jt} = 1$ if $j = t$, and it equals to the solution to $\sum_{i \in S_{jt}} \psi_{H_{jt}}(x_{ij}x_{it} - \mu) = 0$ otherwise. Under condition (A3) and the assumption that $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} \geq 24 \log p$, let $H_{jt} = \frac{Q_1}{12} \sqrt{n_{jt} / \log p}$ for each $j, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, by Theorem 5 in Fan et al. (2016), we know that for all $j, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$,

$$P(|\check{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq Q_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}) \leq \frac{2}{p^3}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_{j,t} P(|\check{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq Q_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}) \leq \frac{2}{p^3}.$$

Furthermore, for each $j, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, we have

$$P(|\check{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq Q_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) \leq P(|\check{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq Q_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_{jt}}}) \leq \frac{2}{p^3}.$$

Thus,

$$P(\|\check{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \geq Q_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) = P(\max_{j,t} |\check{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq Q_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) \leq \frac{2}{p}.$$

In addition, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $i \in S_j$, we have

$$\text{Var}(x_{ij}y_i) \leq E(x_{ij}^2y_i^2) = \sqrt{E(x_{ij}^4)E(y_i^4)} \leq 2(Q_1 + Q_2)^2.$$

Let $H_j = (Q_1 + Q_2)\sqrt{n_j/\log p}$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Using Theorem 5 in Fan et al. (2016), we know that for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$,

$$P(|\check{c}_j - c_j| \geq 8(Q_1 + Q_2)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_j}}) \leq \frac{2}{p^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_j P(|\check{c}_j - c_j| \geq 8(Q_1 + Q_2)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_j}}) \leq \frac{2}{p^2}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$P(|\check{c}_j - c_j| \geq 8(Q_1 + Q_2)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_j n_j}}) \leq P(|\check{c}_j - c_j| \geq 8(Q_1 + Q_2)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n_j}}),$$

we know that

$$P(\|\check{C} - C\|_{\max} \geq 8(Q_1 + Q_2)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_j n_j}}) \leq p \cdot \frac{2}{p^2} = \frac{2}{p}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 4: Under the conditions $\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} E(|X_j|^{4\ell}) \leq T$ and $E(\epsilon_1^{2\ell}) = E(\epsilon_2^{2\ell}) = \dots = E(\epsilon_n^{2\ell}) \leq T$, by Theorem 2 in Whittle (1960), we have

$$\max_{j,t} P(|\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \frac{d_1}{2T}\sqrt{\frac{p}{n_{jt}}}) \leq \frac{d_2}{p^{2h}}.$$

Furthermore, for each $j, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, we have

$$P(|\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \frac{d_1}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) \leq P(|\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \frac{d_1}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{n_{jt}}}) \leq \frac{d_2}{p^{2h}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|\tilde{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \geq \frac{d_1}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) &= P(\max_{j,t} |\tilde{\sigma}_{jt} - \sigma_{jt}| \geq \frac{d_1}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}}) \\ &\leq \frac{d_2}{p^{2h-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since $E(\tilde{C}) = C$, using the Theorem 2 in Whittle (1960), for all j , we have

$$P(|\tilde{c}_j - c_j| \geq \frac{d_3}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{n_j}}) \leq \frac{d_4}{p^{2h-1}},$$

where d_3 and d_4 are two positive constants.

Therefore, we have

$$\max_j P(|\tilde{c}_j - c_j| \geq \frac{d_3}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{n_j}}) \leq \frac{d_4}{p^{2h-1}}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$P(|\tilde{c}_j - c_j| \geq \frac{d_3}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{\min_j n_j}}) \leq P(|\tilde{c}_j - c_j| \geq \frac{d_3}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{n_j}}),$$

we know that

$$P(\|\tilde{C} - C\|_{max} \geq \frac{d_3}{2T} \sqrt{\frac{p}{\min_j n_j}}) \leq p \cdot \frac{d_4}{p^{2h-1}} = \frac{d_4}{p^{2h-2}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 5: The proof is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 2.

We use the same technique to show that

$$P(\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \geq Q'_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}) \leq 2/p.$$

Then, in events $\mathcal{A} = \{\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \leq Q'_1 \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\|\check{C} - C\|_{max} \leq 8(Q_1 + Q_2) \sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j}\}$, for sufficiently large s , p , and $\min_{j,t} n_{jt}$, we show that $\|\check{\beta} - \beta^0\|_2 \leq 24\lambda\sqrt{s}/m$ with probability at least $1 - 4/p$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 6: By the KKT condition, we know that $\tilde{\beta}$ is a solution to (4) if and only if there exists a subgradient $\gamma \in R^p$ such that

$$\tilde{C} - \hat{\Sigma}\tilde{\beta} = \lambda\gamma,$$

where for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, $\gamma_j = \text{sign}(\tilde{\beta}_j)$ if $\tilde{\beta}_j \neq 0$, and $\gamma_j \in [-1, 1]$ if $\tilde{\beta}_j = 0$.

We can construct a point $\tilde{\beta} \in R^p$ by letting $\tilde{\beta}_J = (\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\tilde{C}_J - \lambda(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} \cdot \text{sign}(\beta_J^0)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_{J^c} = 0$. Define events $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\|\tilde{\beta}_J - \beta_J^0\|_{max} < \beta_{min}^0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\|\tilde{C}_{J^c} - \hat{\Sigma}_{J^c J}\tilde{\beta}_J\|_{max} \leq \lambda\}$. If events \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 hold, we can check that $\tilde{\beta}$ is a solution to (4) and $\text{sign}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{sign}(\beta^0)$. To prove the theorem, we only need to show that $P(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow 1$ and $P(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow 1$, as $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 1: show the upper bound of $\|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty}$.

Denote $V = \|(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - (\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot (\|(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} + \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - (\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \cdot \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{\infty} \\ &= V(V + \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - (\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty}) \cdot \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$\|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - (\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{V^2 \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{\infty}}{1 - V \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{\infty}} \leq \frac{sV^2 \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}}{1 - sV \|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}},$$

and

$$\|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq V + \frac{sV^2\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}} = \frac{V}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}}.$$

Step 2: show the upper bound of $\|\tilde{C}_J - \hat{\Sigma}_{JJ}\beta_J^0\|_{max}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{C}_J - \hat{\Sigma}_{JJ}\beta_J^0\|_{max} &\leq \|\tilde{C}_J - C_J\|_{max} + \|(\Sigma_{JJ} - \hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})\beta_J^0\|_{max} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{C}_J - C_J\|_{max} + \|\Sigma_{JJ} - \hat{\Sigma}_{JJ}\|_{\infty}\|\beta_J^0\|_{max} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{C}_J - C_J\|_{max} + s\beta_{max}^0\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: show that $P(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow 1$ as $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Define events $\mathcal{A}_3 = \{\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \leq \nu'_1\sqrt{\log p/\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_4 = \{\|\tilde{C} - C\|_{max} \leq \nu_3\sqrt{\log p/\min_j n_j}\}$. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we know that $P(\mathcal{A}_3) \rightarrow 1$ and $P(\mathcal{A}_4) \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. If events \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{A}_4 occur, since $\frac{1+s\beta_{max}^0}{\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}} \rightarrow 0$, if $sV\sqrt{\log p/\min_{j,t} n_{jt}} \rightarrow 0$ or the following condition holds

$$\|(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{s^2 \log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}} \leq \frac{\eta}{\nu'_1(4 + \eta)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\beta}_J - \beta_J^0\|_{max} &= \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\tilde{C}_J - \lambda(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} \cdot \text{sign}(\beta_J^0) - \beta_J^0\|_{max} \\ &\leq \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\tilde{C}_J - \beta_J^0\|_{max} + \lambda\|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq (\|\tilde{C}_J - \hat{\Sigma}_{JJ}\beta_J^0\|_{max} + \lambda) \cdot \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq (\|\tilde{C}_J - C_J\|_{max} + s\beta_{max}^0\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max} + \lambda) \cdot \frac{V}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\lambda V}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_{max}} \leq 4\lambda V, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large p and $\min_{j,t} n_{jt}$. Therefore, if $\lambda V/\beta_{min}^0 \rightarrow 0$, we have $P(\mathcal{A}_1) = 1 - P(\{\|\tilde{\beta}_J - \beta_J^0\|_{max} \geq \beta_{min}^0\}) \rightarrow 1$.

Step 4: show the upper bound of $\|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - \Sigma_{J^cJ}(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - \Sigma_{J^cJ}(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \\
& \leq \|\Sigma_{J^cJ}((\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} - (\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1})\|_\infty + \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ} - \Sigma_{J^cJ})(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \\
& \leq \|\Sigma_{J^cJ}(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \cdot \|\Sigma_{JJ} - \hat{\Sigma}_{JJ}\|_\infty \cdot \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \\
& \quad + \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \cdot \|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ} - \Sigma_{J^cJ}\|_\infty \\
& \leq \|(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \cdot (\|\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ} - \Sigma_{JJ}\|_\infty + \|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ} - \Sigma_{J^cJ}\|_\infty) \leq \frac{2sV\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 5: show that $P(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow 1$ as $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\tilde{\beta}_J = (\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\tilde{C}_J - \lambda(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1} \cdot \text{sign}(\beta_J^0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{C}_{J^c} - \hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}\tilde{\beta}_J\|_{max} & \leq \|\tilde{C}_{J^c} - \hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\tilde{C}_J\|_{max} + \lambda\|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ}^{-1}\|_\infty \\
& \leq \|\tilde{C}_{J^c} - C_{J^c}\|_{max} + \|(\Sigma_{J^cJ}(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1} - \hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1})C_J\|_{max} \\
& \quad + \|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}(C_J - \tilde{C}_J)\|_{max} + \lambda\|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty \\
& \leq \underbrace{\|\tilde{C}_{J^c} - C_{J^c}\|_{max}}_{(I)} + \underbrace{s\beta_{max}^0\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \cdot (1 + \|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty)}_{(II)} \\
& \quad + \underbrace{(\lambda + \|\tilde{C}_J - C_J\|_{max}) \cdot \|\hat{\Sigma}_{J^cJ}(\hat{\Sigma}_{JJ})^{-1}\|_\infty}_{(III)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If events \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{A}_4 occur, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
(I) & \leq \nu_3\sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j} \leq \nu_3\sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}} \\
(II) & \leq \nu'_1 s\beta_{max}^0\sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}} \cdot (2 - \eta + \frac{2sV\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}}) \\
(III) & \leq (\lambda + \nu_3\sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j}) \cdot (1 - \eta + \frac{2sV\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}}{1 - sV\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1+s\beta_{max}^0}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}} \rightarrow 0$, if $sV \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}} \rightarrow 0$ or we assume that

$$\|(\Sigma_{JJ})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{s^2 \log p}{\min_{j,t} n_{jt}}} \leq \frac{\eta}{\nu_1'(4 + \eta)},$$

we have

$$\frac{\|\tilde{C}_{J^c} - \hat{\Sigma}_{J^c J} \tilde{\beta}_J\|_{max}}{\lambda} \leq \frac{(I)}{\lambda} + \frac{(II)}{\lambda} + \frac{(III)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta}{4} + 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} = 1,$$

for sufficiently large p and $\min_{j,t} n_{jt}$.

Therefore, $P(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow 1$ as $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 7: The proof is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 6. We define events $\mathcal{A}_5 = \{\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{max} \leq Q_1' \sqrt{\log p / \min_{j,t} n_{jt}}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_6 = \{\|\check{C} - C\|_{max} \leq 8(Q_1 + Q_2) \sqrt{\log p / \min_j n_j}\}$. By Theorem 3, we know that $P(\mathcal{A}_5) \rightarrow 1$ and $P(\mathcal{A}_6) \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. If events \mathcal{A}_5 and \mathcal{A}_6 occur, we can show that $P(\{\|\check{\beta}_J - \beta_J^0\|_{max} < \beta_{min}^0\}) \rightarrow 1$ and $P(\{\|\check{C}_{J^c} - \hat{\Sigma}_{J^c J} \check{\beta}_J\|_{max} \leq \lambda\}) \rightarrow 1$, as $\min_{j,t} n_{jt} \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. □

References

- Fan, J., Li, Q., and Wang, Y. (2016), “Estimation of high dimensional mean regression in the absence of symmetry and light tail assumptions,” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, to appear.
- Ravikumar, P., Wainwright, M. J., Raskutti, G., and Yu, B. (2011), “High-dimensional covariance estimation by minimizing ℓ_1 -penalized log-determinant divergence,” *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 5, 935–980.

Whittle, P. (1960), “Bounds for the moments of linear and quadratic forms in independent variables,” *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 5, 302–305.